

**Renewal Project without Contract Scoring Matrix (8.16.16)**

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Agency:</b>
<b>Grant #:</b>	<b>Reviewer:</b>
<b>Housing Component:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Permanent Supportive Housing</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Rapid Re-housing</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Transitional Housing</b>	<b>Initial Score:</b>
	<b>Final Score:</b>

<b>General Information</b>	<b>Points Available/Method of Measurement</b>	<b>Initial Score</b>	<b>Final Score</b>
All required documents submitted <u>and</u> submitted on time ( Cover Sheet, Match, Housing First form, APR, most recent audit letter) 15 points available  Source: Project Applicant Spreadsheet	Yes = 15 points No = 0 points		
<b>Alignment with Opening Doors</b>	<b>Points Available/Method of Measurement</b>	<b>Initial Score</b>	<b>Final Score</b>
Agency dedicates beds for persons experiencing chronic homelessness  Source: Application	100% = 3 points 1% - 99% = 1 point None = 0 points		
Agency prioritizes beds for persons experiencing chronic homelessness  Source: Application & Cover Sheet	Yes = 1 No = 0		
Project serves a priority population (Vets, Youth, and/or Families with Children)  Source: Cover Sheet	Serves at least 1 priority population = 3 points  Does not serve a priority population = 0 points		
Commitment to participate in Coordinated Entry system, use standardized assessment tool selected by CoC, and comply with Coordinated Entry policies and procedures  Source: Cover Sheet	Yes = 1 point  No = 0 points		
Priority of Permanent Housing Strategies/Compliance with the Order of Priority  Source: Cover Sheet- Housing Component	Permanent Housing (PSH or RRH) = 5 points Transitional Housing = 3 points Supportive Service Only = 0 points		
Commitment to Housing First practices. 22 points + 2 Bonus Points available  Source: Housing First form	See Housing First form for scoring Points. 22 points + 2 Bonus Points available		

<b>Performance Measures</b>	<b>Points Available/Method of Measurement</b>	<b>Initial Score</b>	<b>Final Score</b>
<b>Housing Performance Measure Projection</b>  Source: Fiscal Year 2015 ESNAPS application- Provided by HSC staff	The percentage listed in Fiscal Year 2015 ESNAPS application:  80- 100% = 20 points 70-79.9% = 18 points 60- 69.9% = 16 points 50-59.9% = 14 points 40-49.9% = 12 points 30-39.9% = 10 points 20-29.9% = 8 points 10- 19.9% = 6 points 5 - 9.9% = 2 points 4.9% or below = 0 points		
<b>Income Performance Measure Projections</b>  Source: Fiscal Year 2015 ESNAPS application- Provided by HSC staff	The percentage listed in Fiscal Year 2015 ESNAPS application:  54- 100% = 20 points 50-53.9% = 18 points 45- 59.9% = 16 points 40-34.9% = 14 points 35-39.9% = 12 points 30-34.9% = 10 points 25-29.9% = 8 points 20- 24.9% = 6 points 15 - 19.9% = 4 points 10- 14.9% = 2 0 – 9.9% = 0		
<b>Fiscal Practices</b>	<b>Points Available/Method of Measurement</b>	<b>Initial Score</b>	<b>Final Score</b>
Budget accurate- Budget matches on Cover Sheet, GIW, and Application	Yes = 2 points No = 0 points		
<b>CoC/Community Involvement</b>	<b>Points Available/Method of Measurement</b>	<b>Initial Score</b>	<b>Final Score</b>
<i>Attended Mandatory Technical Assistance Training on July 19, 2016</i>  <i>Source: Project Applicant Spreadsheet</i>	<i>Yes = 10 points</i> <i>No = 0 points</i>		
75% attendance at CoC membership meetings  Source: Project Applicant Spreadsheet prepared by HSC staff	75-100%= 5 points 50-74.9% = 3 points 1-49.9% = 1 point 0 meetings= 0 points		

CoC/Community Involvement	Points Available/Method of Measurement	Initial Score	Final Score
Participated in a CoC committee  Source: Cover Sheet	Selected at least 1 CoC Committee listed on Cover Sheet = 2 points  Did not participate in a CoC Committee listed on the Cover Sheet = 0 points		
	TOTAL		

**Areas for Improvement:**

**Strengths:**

**Overall Comments**